Quantum Optical Phase Estimation by Phase-Locked Loops

Mankei Tsang, Jeffrey H. Shapiro, and Seth Lloyd

`mankei@mit.edu`

xQIT, MIT

Motivation

- **Coherent Optical Communications**
  - PM: $m(t) \propto \phi(t)$
  - FM: $m(t) \propto \dot{\phi}(t)$

- **Optical Sensing**
  - $x(t) \propto \phi(t)$
  - Laser Doppler Velocimetry: $v(t) \propto \dot{\phi}(t)$

- **Metrology**
  - Clock stability characterized by $\dot{\phi}(t)$ fluctuations.

- **Definition, measurements, and fundamental quantum accuracy limits** of $\phi(t)$ and $\dot{\phi}(t)$.

Quantization of 1D Optical Fields

- Frequency modes:

$$[\hat{a}(\omega), \hat{a}^\dagger(\omega')] = \delta(\omega - \omega').$$

(1)

- Rotating-wave approximation:

$$\hat{A}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \hat{a}(\omega) \exp(-i\omega t), \qquad [\hat{A}(t), \hat{A}^\dagger(t)] = \delta(t - t').$$

(2)

- Continuous-time Fock states:

$$|dn(t)\rangle \equiv \prod_j \frac{1}{\sqrt{dn(t_j)!}} \left[\hat{A}^\dagger(t_j)\sqrt{dt}\right]^{dn(t_j)} |0\rangle,$$

$$\hat{A}^\dagger(t)\hat{A}(t)|dn(t)\rangle = \left[\sum_j dn(t_j)\delta(t - t_j)\right] |dn(t)\rangle.$$

(3)

- J. H. Shapiro, Quantum Semiclass. Opt. **10**, 567 (1998).

Temporal-Phase POVM

- Generalizing Susskind-Glogower phase states:

$$|\phi(t)\rangle = \sum_{dn(t)} \exp\left[i\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt\frac{dn(t)}{dt}\phi(t)\right]|dn(t)\rangle \tag{4}$$

$$= \sum_{dn(t)} \exp\left[i\sum_{j} dn(t_j)\phi(t_j)\right]|dn(t)\rangle \tag{5}$$

- Temporal-Phase POVM:

$$\hat{\Pi}[\phi(t)] \equiv |\phi(t)\rangle\langle\phi(t)|, \qquad P[\phi(t)] = \mathrm{Tr}\left\{\hat{\rho}\hat{\Pi}[\phi(t)]\right\}, \tag{6}$$

$$\int D\phi(t)\,\hat{\Pi}[\phi(t)] = \hat{1}, \qquad D\phi(t) = \lim_{\delta t \to 0} \prod_{k} \frac{d\phi(t + k\delta t)}{2\pi}. \tag{7}$$

- Tsang, Shapiro, and Lloyd, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 053820 (2008); QCMC 2008 Conference Proceedings, P1-83.

- Difficult to realize experimentally.

Homodyne Detection

- Output of homodyne detection:

$$\langle \hat{\eta}(t) \rangle \propto -i \left\langle \hat{a} \exp(-i\phi') - \hat{a}^\dagger \exp(i\phi') \right\rangle = 2|\alpha|\sin[\bar{\phi}(t) - \phi'(t)]. \qquad (8)$$

- Statistics of $\hat{\eta}(t)$ obey Wigner distribution.

- If $\phi'(t) \approx \bar{\phi}(t)$, $\hat{\eta}(t)$ depends approximately linearly on $\bar{\phi}(t)$.

- Does not work if $\bar{\phi}(t)$ has large fluctuations.

Adaptive Homodyne Detection

- 🔴 Single-mode phase measurement:

  - 🟢 Wiseman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 4587, (1995).

  - 🟢 Armen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 133602 (2002).

- 🔴 Phase-Locked Loop (PLL)

  - 🟢 Viterbi, *Principles of Coherent Communications* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966).

  - 🟢 H. L. Van Trees, *Detection, Estimation, and Modulation Theory, Part I* (Wiley, New York, 2001); *Part II: Nonlinear Modulation Theory* (Wiley, New York, 2002).

  - 🟢 A. B. Baggeroer, *State Variables and Communication Theory* (MIT Press, Cambridge, 1970).

Phase-Locked Loop Design for Coherent States

- Wigner distribution for coherent states:

$$W[X_1(t), X_2(t)] \propto \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \left\{ \left[X_1(t) - \bar{X}_1(t)\right]^2 + \left[X_2(t) - \bar{X}_2(t)\right]^2 \right\} \right\},$$

(9)

$$\langle \Delta X_{1,2}(t)\Delta X_{1,2}(\tau)\rangle = \delta(t-\tau).$$

(10)

- Upon homodyne detection, a coherent state can be regarded as a classical signal with additive white Gaussian noise,

$$\eta(t) = \sin\left[\bar{\phi}(t) - \phi'(t)\right] + z(t), \quad \langle z(t)z(\tau)\rangle = \frac{1}{4|\alpha|^2}\delta(t-\tau), \quad |\alpha|^2 = \frac{\mathcal{P}}{\hbar\omega_0}.$$

(11)

Wiener Filtering

- Let the mean phase be a classical stationary Gaussian random process:

$$\langle \bar{\phi}(t)\bar{\phi}(\tau) \rangle = K_{\bar{\phi}}(t-\tau), \qquad S_{\bar{\phi}}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt\, K_{\bar{\phi}}(t)\exp(i\omega t), \qquad (12)$$

- Assume that the PLL is phase locked,

$$\langle [\bar{\phi}(t) - \phi'(t)]^2 \rangle \ll 1, \qquad \eta(t) \approx 2|\alpha|\left[\bar{\phi}(t) - \phi'(t)\right] + z(t). \qquad (13)$$

- $L(\omega)$ can be designed using the Wiener filtering technique.

- Phase-locking condition:

$$\langle [\bar{\phi}(t) - \phi'(t)]^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{4|\alpha|^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \ln[1 + 4|\alpha|^2 S_{\bar{\phi}}(\omega)] \ll 1. \qquad (14)$$

Example: Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Process

- Power spectral density of mean phase $\bar{\phi}(t)$:

$$S_{\bar{\phi}}(\omega) = \frac{\kappa}{\omega^2 + k^2}.$$

(15)

$$\Gamma = \sqrt{\frac{4\kappa\mathcal{P}}{\hbar\omega_0}} - k, \qquad \langle(\bar{\phi} - \phi')^2\rangle = \frac{\hbar\omega_0 k}{4\mathcal{P}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{4\kappa\mathcal{P}}{\hbar\omega_0 k^2}} - 1\right) \approx \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega_0\kappa}{\mathcal{P}}}.$$

(16)

Kalman-Bucy Filtering

- Model $\bar{\phi}(t)$ as solution of stochastic differential equations:

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{x}}{dt} = \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{u}, \qquad \langle \boldsymbol{u}(t) \otimes \boldsymbol{u}(\tau) \rangle = \boldsymbol{U}\delta(t - \tau), \qquad \bar{\phi}(t) = \boldsymbol{C}(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{x}(t), \qquad (17)$$

- Again linearizing $\eta(t) \approx \bar{\phi} - \widetilde{\phi} + z$, use $\eta(t)$ as the Kalman-Bucy "innovation", and obtain the Kalman-Bucy variance equation for $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(t) \equiv \langle [\boldsymbol{x}(t) - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}(t)] \otimes [\boldsymbol{x}(t) - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}(t)] \rangle$ and "gain,"

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}{dt} = \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{A}^T - \frac{4\mathcal{P}}{\hbar\omega_0}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{C}^T\boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{\Sigma} + \boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{B}^T, \qquad \boldsymbol{\Gamma} = \frac{4\mathcal{P}}{\hbar\omega_0}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{C}^T. \qquad (18)$$

Smoothing

- Both Wiener filtering and Kalman-Bucy filtering seeks the optimal real-time estimate of phase $\bar{\phi}(t)$ at time t given the past measurement record:

$$\phi'(t) \approx \int D\bar{\phi}(t) \, \bar{\phi}(t) P[\bar{\phi}(t)|\eta(\tau), t_0 \leq \tau < t] \qquad (19)$$

- More advanced measurements $(\eta(\tau), \tau > t)$ also contains info about $\bar{\phi}(t)$, so if we allow estimation delay, we will be able to improve the estimation.

- Optimal delayed estimation (smoothing):

$$\widetilde{\phi}(t) = \int D\bar{\phi}(t) \, \bar{\phi}(t) P[\bar{\phi}(t)|\eta(\tau), t_0 \leq \tau \leq T] \qquad (20)$$

- Maximum a posteriori (MAP) estimation: maximizing the *a posteriori* quasi-probability density:

$$P[\bar{\phi}(t)|A(\tau), -\infty \leq \tau \leq \infty] = \frac{W[A(\tau)|\bar{\phi}(t)]P[\bar{\phi}(t)]}{\int D\bar{\phi}(t)W[A(\tau)|\bar{\phi}(t)]}. \qquad (21)$$

# Maximum a Posteriori (MAP) Estimation

● MAP estimation can be achieved by PLL + post-loop filter:

Example: Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Process

● For phase modulation, let $\bar{\phi}(t) = \beta m(t)$.

$$F(\omega) = \frac{k + \gamma}{-i\omega + \gamma}\exp(-i\omega t_d), \qquad \gamma \equiv \left(\frac{4\kappa\beta^2\mathcal{P}}{\hbar\omega_0} + k^2\right)^{1/2}. \qquad (22)$$

● "Irreducible Error":

$$\langle (m - \widetilde{m})^2\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{d\omega}{2\pi}\frac{S_m(\omega)}{4|\alpha|^2\beta^2 S_m(\omega) + 1} = \frac{\kappa}{2\gamma} \approx \frac{1}{4\beta}\sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega_0\kappa}{\mathcal{P}}} \qquad (23)$$

~ 3 dB better than Wiener or Kalman-Bucy filtering.

Fundamental Quantum Limits

- A band-limited random process:

$$S_m(\omega) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1/b, & |\omega| \le \pi b, \\ 0, & |\omega| > \pi b, \end{array} \right. \qquad \mathcal{N} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{P}}{\hbar\omega_0 b}, \qquad \Lambda(r) \equiv \left(\mathcal{N} - \sinh^2 r\right)\exp(2r). \quad (24)$$

	SQL SNR	Squeezed	Threshold	Max. SNR
Homodyne PLL, PM	$4\beta^2\mathcal{N}$	$4\beta^2\Lambda$	$\frac{\exp(4r)}{\Lambda}\ln(1+\beta^2\Lambda) \ll 1$	$\ll 8\beta^2\mathcal{N}^2/\ln\mathcal{N}$
Homodyne PLL, FM	$12\beta^2\mathcal{N}$	$12\beta^2\Lambda$	$\frac{\exp(4r)}{\Lambda}\ln(1+\beta^2\Lambda) \ll 1$	$\ll 24\beta^2\mathcal{N}^2/\ln\mathcal{N}$
POVM + PLL, PM	$4\beta^2\mathcal{N}$	$4\beta^2\Lambda$	$\frac{1}{\Lambda}\ln(1+\beta^2\Lambda) \ll 1$	$4\beta^2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}+1)$
POVM + PLL, FM	$12\beta^2\mathcal{N}$	$12\beta^2\Lambda$	$\frac{1}{\Lambda}\ln(1+\beta^2\Lambda) \ll 1$	$12\beta^2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}+1)$

- Increasing modulation index β can enhance the SNR, but the optical bandwidth is also increased,

$$\mathrm{PM}: \bar{\phi}(t) = \beta m(t), \qquad\qquad \mathrm{FM}: \bar{\phi}(t) = -\pi\beta b \int_{-\infty}^{t} d\tau\, m(\tau), \qquad (25)$$

$$A(t) = |\alpha|\exp[i\bar{\phi}(t)], \qquad\qquad \text{Optical } B \sim (\beta+1)b. \qquad (26)$$

Multipass Position and Velocity Sensing

- Multipass constant phase measurements:

    - Giovannetti, Lloyd, and Maccone, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 010401 (2006).

    - Higgins *et al.*, Nature **450**, 393 (2007).

- With a homodyne PLL, we can continuously monitor the mirror position and velocity simultaneously at the quantum limit using a high-power coherent state.

- If the optical beam hits the target multiple times before $x(t)$ and $v(t)$ change significantly, $\beta \propto M$, and the SNR can be increased.

Quantum-Limited Position and Velocity Estimation

- System model:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = v, \quad \frac{dv}{dt} = -\omega_m^2 x + \frac{2M\hbar\omega_0 \cos\theta}{mc} I(t), \quad \langle I(t)I(\tau)\rangle_{\mathrm{coh}} = \frac{\mathcal{P}}{\hbar\omega_0}\delta(t-\tau). \quad (27)$$

- Equivalent observation process by homodyne PLL:

$$y = (2Mk_0\cos\theta)x(t) + w(t), \qquad \langle w(t)w(\tau)\rangle \approx \frac{\hbar\omega_0}{4\mathcal{P}}\delta(t-\tau). \qquad (28)$$

- The mirror quantum state remains a Gaussian state under these approximations, and we can use Kalman-Bucy filtering.

Kalman-Bucy Filtering Errors

- The Kalman-Bucy covariances at steady state $t \to \infty$ are

$$\langle \Delta x^2 \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega_m} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{Q} \left[(1+Q^2)^{1/2} - 1 \right]^{1/2}, \tag{29}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \langle \Delta x \Delta v + \Delta v \Delta x \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2m} \frac{1}{Q} \left[(1+Q^2)^{1/2} - 1 \right], \tag{30}$$

$$\langle \Delta v^2 \rangle = \frac{\hbar\omega_m}{2m} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{Q} \left[(1+Q^2)^{1/2} - 1 \right]^{1/2} (1+Q^2)^{1/2}, \tag{31}$$

$$Q \equiv \frac{8M^2\omega_0 \mathcal{P} \cos^2\theta}{m\omega_m^2 c^2}. \tag{32}$$

- Previously derived using a general QND measurement model in

  - Belavkin and Staszewski, Phys. Lett. A **140**, 359 (1989).
  - Doherty *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **60**, 2380 (1999).

- At steady state, the conditioned mirror quantum state is a pure Gaussian state:

$$\langle \Delta x^2 \rangle \langle \Delta v^2 \rangle - \left(\frac{1}{2} \langle \Delta x \Delta v + \Delta v \Delta x \rangle \right)^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2}. \tag{33}$$

Quantum-Limited Smoothing

- With post-processing, classical estimation theory predicts improved performance.

- Smoothing errors:

$$\langle \Delta x^2 \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{8m\omega_m} \left[\frac{1}{(1+iQ)^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{(1-iQ)^{1/2}} \right], \tag{34}$$

$$\langle \Delta v^2 \rangle = \frac{\hbar\omega_m}{8m} \left[(1+iQ)^{1/2} + (1-iQ)^{1/2} \right], \tag{35}$$

- Uncertainty product:

$$\langle \Delta x^2 \rangle \langle \Delta v^2 \rangle = \frac{\hbar^2}{32m^2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{(1+Q^2)^{1/2}} \right] < \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2}. \tag{36}$$

- Resolution of paradox: We estimate the position and velocity of the mirror some time in the past, but the past quantum state of the mirror has been irreversibly destroyed.

  - We can't measure the mirror more accurately in the past without further disturbing it.

  - We can't clone the past quantum state of the mirror and store it for future comparisons.

  - We can't reverse the quantum dynamics of the mirror, because we have measured the phase and the radiation pressure force becomes unknown to us.

Delayed Estimation of Classical Information

- We can still estimate a classical force $F_{\text{ext}}(t)$ with delay:

$$m\frac{dv}{dt} = -m\omega_m^2 x + F_{\text{rad}}(t) + F_{\text{ext}}(t). \tag{37}$$

- For delayed estimation, current quantum trajectory theory needs to be modified.
  - Belavkin, Carmichael, Wiseman and Milburn, ...

$$\hat{\rho}_c(t), |\widetilde{\psi}(t)\rangle \text{ given } \eta(\tau), \tau < t. \tag{38}$$

  - For smoothing, we need

$$\text{conditioned "quantum state" at time } t, \text{ given } \eta(\tau), t_0 \leq \tau \leq T. \tag{39}$$

- Idea: Use two quantum states, one traveling forward in time from t_0, and one traveling backward in time from T, ala Aharonov *et al.*

Conclusion

- Temporal-Phase POVM

- Phase-Locked Loop Design Using Classical Estimation Techniques

- Quantum Limits of Simultaneous Position and Velocity Estimation

- References:

  - Tsang, Shapiro, and Lloyd, "Quantum theory of optical temporal phase and instantaneous frequency," Phys. Rev. A **78**, 053820 (2008).

  - Tsang, Shapiro, and Lloyd, "Quantum theory of optical phase in the continuous time limit," (QCMC 2008 Conference Proceedings, submitted).

  - Tsang, Shapiro, and Lloyd, "Quantum optical phase estimation by homodyne phase-locked loops," (in preparation).

Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) Estimation

- To be more general, let

$$\bar{\phi}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau\, h(t-\tau)m(\tau), \qquad\qquad \langle m(t)m(\tau)\rangle = K_m(t,\tau). \qquad (40)$$

$m(t)$ is the message we wish to estimate. For example,

$$h(t-\tau) = \beta\delta(t-\tau), \qquad\qquad \bar{\phi}(t) = \beta m(t), \qquad\qquad (\text{PM}) \qquad (41)$$

$$h(t-\tau) = -2\pi\mathcal{F}\int_{t_0}^{t} du\,\delta(u-\tau), \qquad \bar{\phi}(t) = -2\pi\mathcal{F}\int_{t_0}^{t} d\tau\, m(\tau). \qquad (\text{FM}) \qquad (42)$$

- MAP estimation: solve for the "most likely" message given our full measurement record:

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta m(t)}\left\{ \ln P[m(t)|A(\tau)] \right\}_{m(t)=\widetilde{m}(t)} = 0, \qquad (43)$$

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta m(t)}\left\{ \ln W[A(\tau)|m(t)] + \ln P[m(t)] \right\}_{m(t)=\widetilde{m}(t)} = 0. \qquad (44)$$

Phase-Locked Loop Design via MAP Estimation

- For coherent states, the MAP equation becomes

$$\widetilde{m}(t) = 2|\alpha| \int d\tau du \ K_m(t,\tau) h(u-\tau) \eta[A(u), \widetilde{\phi}(u)], \qquad (45)$$

- The feedback filter $h^T * K_m * h$ is non-causal, this PLL is unrealizable.

- Linearizing η again, MAP estimation can be achieved by PLL + post-loop filter: