

Metal–Organic Framework-Surface-Enhanced Infrared Absorption Platform Enables Simultaneous On-Chip Sensing of Greenhouse Gases

Hong Zhou, Xindan Hui, Dongxiao Li, Donglin Hu, Xin Chen, Xianming He, Lingxiao Gao, He Huang, Chengkuo Lee,* and Xiaojing Mu*

Simultaneous on-chip sensing of multiple greenhouse gases in a complex gas environment is highly desirable in industry, agriculture, and meteorology, but remains challenging due to their ultralow concentrations and mutual interference. Porous microstructure and extremely high surface areas in metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) provide both excellent adsorption selectivity and high gases affinity for multigas sensing. Herein, it is described that integrating MOFs into a multiresonant surface-enhanced infrared absorption (SEIRA) platform can overcome the shortcomings of poor selectivity in multigas sensing and enable simultaneous on-chip sensing of greenhouse gases with ultralow concentrations. The strategy leverages the near-field intensity enhancement (over 1500-fold) of multiresonant SEIRA technique and the outstanding gas selectivity and affinity of MOFs. It is experimentally demonstrated that the MOF-SEIRA platform achieves simultaneous on-chip sensing of CO₂ and CH₄ with fast response time (<60 s), high accuracy (CO₂: 1.1%, CH₄: 0.4%), small footprint $(100 \times 100 \ \mu m^2)$, and excellent linearity in wide concentration range $(0-2.5 \times 10^4 \text{ ppm})$. Additionally, the excellent scalability to detect more gases is explored. This work opens up exciting possibilities for the implementation of all-in-one, real-time, and on-chip multigas detection as well as provides a valuable toolkit for greenhouse gas sensing applications.

life.^[1] In many special scenarios, there is a huge demand for the simultaneous sensing of multiple gases, such as the detection of combustible gases accompanied with the monitor of oxygen (O₂) to prevent explosions, the gauging of multiple toxic gases in small and confined spaces (like a vehicle), real-time monitor of O2 and carbon dioxide (CO₂) in the farm, and the simultaneous sensing of multiple greenhouse gases in industry and meteorology.^[2] Many efforts have been invested to address such challenges, mainly including i) electrochemical methods exploiting electrochemical redox reaction^[3] and ii) optical methods including spectrophotometry, photoacoustic spectroscopy, tunable diode laser spectroscopy, and IR absorption spectroscopy.^[4] Among them, nondispersive infrared (NDIR) sensors using IR absorption spectroscopy stand out and are widely commercialized due to its fast response, no poisoning effects, and long lifespan.^[5] However, such device is often bulky because it requires centimeter long optical interaction length to achieve ppm detection level limit.^[6] Despite the introduction of metamaterial technology to

1. Introduction

Gas sensing is one of the most ubiquitous and significant technologies and plays a vital role in many applications in our daily

Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Technology & Systems

Ministry of Education, and International R & D Center of Micro-Nano Systems and New Materials Technology

Chongqing University

E-mail: mxjacj@cqu.edu.cn

The ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202001173

DOI: 10.1002/advs.202001173

H. Huang

Suzhou Institute of Nano-Tech and Nano-Bionics Chinese Academy of Sciences Suzhou 215123, P. R. China Prof. C. Lee Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering National University of Singapore Singapore 117583, Singapore E-mail: elelc@nus.edu.sg

NDIR to reduce its size and enhance its performance, the prob-

lems of low sensitivity, poor selectivity, and interference remain unresolved due to the inherent limitation of its basic sensing

Dr. H. Zhou, X. Hui, D. Li, D. Hu, X. Chen, Dr. X. He, L. Gao, H. Huang, Prof. X. Mu

Chongqing 400044, P. R. China E-mail: mxjacj@cqu.edu.cn

^{© 2020} The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

www.advancedscience.com

principles.^[7] Furthermore, in order to achieve multiple gas detection, such approach requires assembling several different gassensitive detectors together as a NDIR system, i.e., a multigas meter, which results in a bulkier shell. Therefore, a new technology for enabling multiple gas detection in a miniaturized device footprint of excellent selectivity, high sensitivity, and great accuracy is still required.

The surface-enhanced infrared absorption (SEIRA) technique can potentially address the challenge by utilizing the near-field coupling between plasmonic resonances and vibrational modes of target molecules.^[8] Meanwhile, the gas-selective-trapping material is integrated with SEIRA platform to concentrate the sparsely dispersed gas molecules of the surrounding area.^[9-11] The gas-selective-trapping material is critical because it determines the performance of the sensor, including selectivity, response time, linearity, and sensitivity. For instance, polyethylenimine was integrated with a hybrid metamaterial absorber to achieve high sensitivity sensing of CO2.[9] Palladium was chosen as hydrogen trapping material in a plasmonic sensing system to realize the sensing of hydrogen due to the formation of palladium-hydride upon exposure to hydrogen.^[10] More recently, metal-organic framework (MOF) was reported for CO2 sensing due to the porous structure and selectivity.^[11] Although these studies demonstrated the feasibility of SEIRA integrated with functional films for miniaturized, highly sensitive, and selective gas sensing, multigas sensing with this strategy has not been well studied. The difficulties associated with the multigas detection lie in both the development of functional material with multigas selectivity and the implementation of multiresonant SEIRA platform compatible with functional material and target gases.

To address these grand challenges, we hereby develop a miniaturized multigas sensor by combining the zeolitic imidazolate framework (ZIF-8) and the multiresonant plasmonic SEIRA platform. Its multigas detection capability is demonstrated by the simultaneous on-chip sensing of greenhouse gases, mainly CO_2 and CH_4 , which are accounted for the majority of global warming.^[12] The successful implementation of simultaneous multigas detection comes from two effects: the multiple selectivity of ZIF-8 and the near-field intensity enhancement of the multiresonant SEIRA. On the one hand, the porous ZIF-8 shows excellent gas-selective-trapping features for both CO₂ and CH₄. This interesting feature is determined by both the pore aperture and cavity diameter of ZIF-8 and the kinetic diameter of CO₂ and CH₄.^[13] Since the kinetic diameter of CO₂ and CH₄ are 3.3 and 3.8 Å, respectively, CH_4 is only adsorbed in the cavity (diameter: 11.6 Å), while CO_2 can enter the pore aperture (diameter: 3.4 Å). It indicates that most of the CO_2 and CH_4 are captured in different areas of the ZIF-8. Therefore, the competition between CO₂ and CH₄ in ZIF-8 is relatively small, which is a critical characteristic for the simultaneous detection of the gases. Moreover, the multiresonant SEIRA technique used in the proposed MOF-SEIRA platform provides more than 1500-fold near-field intensity enhancement over dual sensing bands corresponding to CO₂ and CH₄ concentrated in ZIF-8. Notably, in addition to selectively eliminating the interference of nontarget gas molecules in the environment through ZIF-8, the platform can further identify CO₂ and CH₄ through the vibration peaks in the mid-IR spectrum. This dual recognition feature adds extra advantages to our MOF-SEIRA platform. Collectively, this work primarily focuses on the detailed investigation of the MOF–SEIRA platform including its optical physics and sensing performance, such as mechanism, theoretical models, steady-state, and dynamic sensing behaviors. We believe that these findings will provide both a powerful detection tool for greenhouse gases sensing and an enabling SEIRA platform technology in simultaneous selective sensing of gases.

2. Results and Discussions

2.1. Mechanism of MOF-SEIRA Platform

The proposed MOF-SEIRA platform, illustrated in Figure 1a, combines the SEIRA platform and the porous MOF for simultaneous on-chip sensing of CO₂ and CH₄ at mid-IR spectra. Here, the MOF film functions as a multigas-selective material that selectively adsorbs gases due to its unique structural characteristics, high surface area, chemical, and thermal stability.^[13] In particular, ZIF-8, a subclass of MOFs, is composed of Zn²⁺ atoms linked to imidazolate anions through nitrogen and presents a tetrahedral coordination, as shown in Figure 1b. The largest cavity in the nets of the ZIF structure is 11.6 Å, and the cavity is connected through small apertures (3.4 Å, formed by the encompassment of six-membered ring window), as shown in Figure S1 (Supporting Information). Its pore aperture and cavity size determine the characteristics of simultaneously capturing and concentrating CH₄ and CO₂ molecules of the surrounding area. The above analysis of the competition between CO₂ and CH₄ will be experimentally demonstrated in the later section.

After the adsorption and concentration of target gases through MOF, they are coupled with the enhanced near-field provided by the metamaterial absorber. The metamaterial absorber consists of metal-dielectric spacer-metal layers, i.e., gold metasurface layer, MgF₂ dielectric spacer, and gold ground layer. Such a trilayer structure forms a Fabry-Perot cavity where multiple reflections occur to achieve absorption effects and field enhancement. Perfect absorption occurs when the impedance is matched with free space by tuning the thickness of dielectric spacer to optimize intrinsic and external loss rates.^[14] Such perfect absorption improves SEIRA performance, and thereby provides an enhanced near-field coupling platform to detect the weak intrinsic signals originating from picometer-sized gas molecules. The enhancement achieved by metamaterial absorber have proven to increase sensitivity by an order of magnitude compared with metasurface working in the transmission mode.^[15] In addition, the resonance frequency of the SEIRA platform is tuned by the shape and dimension of the patterned nanostructures. The nanostructures are engineered as symmetrical cross-shaped antennas, consisting of two sets of nanorods perpendicular to each other. It provides resonance at both 4.25 and 7.66 μm , one excited at the ends and another excited at the sides, for sensing vibrational fingerprint signals derived from gas molecules, as shown in the insets of Figure 1c. Furthermore, in order to increase the strength of the near-field coupling, the two resonance of mid-IR absorber is artificially tailored to overlap with the vibrational modes of the CH₄ and CO₂ molecules, as plotted in Figure 1c. The spectral position of CO₂ used in this work is fixed at 4.25 µm (2350 cm⁻¹) induced by the asymmetrical stretch of C=O=C, and that of CH₄ gas at 7.66 μ m (1305.9 cm⁻¹) originating from the v_4 vibration band of methane.^[16] Collectively, the sensing of target gases involves two www.advancedsciencenews.com

IENCE NEWS



www.advancedscience.com



Figure 1. Illustration of the MOF–SEIRA platform for simultaneous sensing of CO_2 and CH_4 gases. a) Schematic representation of the MOF–SEIRA platform consisting of porous ZIF-8 and metamaterial perfect absorber. Inset: CO_2 and CH_4 molecules are simultaneously trapped in the cavity and pore aperture of the ZIF-8, and the MOF is attached to the surface of the antenna covered by the hotspot. b) A stick diagram showing the structure of ZIF-8 with zinc–nitrogen tetrahedra. c) The absorber resonance position is engineered to overlap with the vibration signals of both the CO_2 and CH_4 absorption bands at the same time, achieving the simultaneous sensing of CO_2 and CH_4 gases.

steps: CH_4 and CO_2 molecules of the surrounding area diffuse and adsorb in ZIF-8; they are then detected by utilizing resonant plasmonic and vibrational coupling in the platform.

2.2. Simulation, Modeling, and Proof-of-Concept Demonstration of MOF-SEIRA Platform

The optical response of the MOF-SEIRA platform is investigated using 3D finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method. Figure 2a depicts the 3D structure of SEIRA platform, in which a plane wave light source is incident from the top. Since transmission is nearly zero across the entire frequency range due to the metallic ground plane which is thicker than the penetration depth of light in the IR range, the absorption A is calculated as 1 – reflection R, and the absorption characteristics of absorbers are studied by reflection spectroscopy (Figure S2, Supporting Information). The simulated absorption spectra of SEIRA platform containing two well-designed resonances are plotted in Figure 2b. Considering that significant redshifts will occur on the resonance after integrating the gas-selective-trapping MOF into the SEIRA platform, the two resonances are elaborately designed to the left side of the vibration signals of CO₂ and CH₄ to reserve some spectral space. It is achieved by adjusting the length L and width W, as shown in Figure 2b. L and W correspond to the low and high frequencies of the absorber, respectively, and only the corresponding resonance changes when adjusting L and W, indicating that the two modes of cross-shaped antennas are weakly coupled. This feature not only allows the resonances to be engineered to desired bands in a straightforward manner, but also minimizes the interference between the two resonances.

The enhanced near-field in the vicinity of nanoantennas is significant in SEIRA due to its critical role in the light-matter interaction. The electric field distribution in Figure 2c shows that resonance is excited in different areas of the nanoantennas for each spectral band corresponding to vibrational signal of gases, indicating that the sensitive areas of each gas on the platform are separated. In addition, the metamaterial absorber-based SEIRA platform creates maximum local near-field intensity enhancements over 1500-fold for both sensing bands, which is about 10 times higher than that of metasurface-based SEIRA platform working in the transmission mode (Figure S2, Supporting Information). Importantly, the near-field access up to hundreds of nanometers from the dielectric surface with 10% of the near-field peak intensity (Figure S3, Supporting Information), which is much deeper than the penetration depth realized by surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy. Such extended penetration depth is independent of the absorber geometry and provides a relatively sufficient volume for the light-matter interaction (Figure S4, Supporting Information).

In order to better understand the mechanism of the proposed platform, generalized absorber model is established according to the temporal coupled mode theory (TCMT).^[17] Corresponding to the three evolutionary processes of the platform, the model is built in three steps, namely the metamaterial absorber model, the MOF–SEIRA platform model, and the gases sensing model. For the metamaterial absorber (Figure 2dI), the theoretical model consists of a dual-mode (labeled mode A and mode B) optical absorber coupled with two ports representing the incoming (S₁₊) and outgoing (S₁₋) radiation (Figure 2dII). According to the coupling equations of TCMT, the absorption A_1 can be written as (Note S4.1, Supporting Information)

$$A_{1} = 1 - \left| 1 - \frac{\kappa_{1}^{2} Y_{1} + \kappa_{2}^{2} Y_{2} + j \kappa_{3} (\kappa_{1} - \kappa_{2})^{2}}{Y_{1} Y_{2} + j \kappa_{3} (Y_{1} + Y_{2})} \right|^{2}$$
(1)





www.advancedscience.com



Figure 2. Simulation, modeling, and proof-of-concept demonstration of the MOF–SEIRA platform. a) Schematic of the multiresonant metamaterial absorber. Dimensions: $L = 2.3 \ \mu$ m, $W = 1 \ \mu$ m, $P = 3.5 \ \mu$ m, $t_1 = 100 \ n$ mL, $t_2 = 200 \ n$ m, $t_3 = 100 \ n$ m. b) Simulated absorption spectrum of the MOF–SEIRA platform for the nominal configuration (red curve), and with varying width W (green curves, $0.9 \le W \le 1.1$) and length L (orange curves, $2.27 \le L \le 2.43$). c) Near-field distribution of the MOF–SEIRA platform parallel (upper) and perpendicular (bottom) to the substrate plane at the CO₂ (left) and CH₄ (right) bands. d) Generalized absorber model for the metamaterial absorber. I: Schematic of the motion spectra and model fit spectra. e) Extension of generalized model for the MOF–SEIRA platform. f) Corresponding model when CO₂ and CH₄ are loaded. κ_1 , κ_2 , κ_3 , μ , and v represent coupling coefficient. g) Measured absorption spectra for bare metamaterial absorber, h) MOF thin film, and i) MOF–SEIRA platform in vacuum and 2000 ppm CO₂. The thickness of MOF on both the absorber and the Au/Si were set to 400 nm.

where $Y_i = j(\omega - \omega_i) + \gamma_i - j\kappa_3$ (i = 1, 2), and the terms involved include center frequency (ω_1 for mode A and ω_2 for mode B), damping rates (γ_1, γ_2), and coupling coefficient ($\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3$). An absorption spectrum containing two Lorentzian line shapes can be obtained by plotting Equation (1) in MATLAB software. The resonance parameters determining the line-width and amplitude are extracted by fitting Equation (1) to the simulated spectrum, as shown in Figure 2dIII. The values of the parameters in Equation (1) are listed in Table S2 (Supporting Information). The coupling coefficient κ_3 between mode A and mode B has little effect on the absorption spectrum when the resonant frequencies of the two modes is significantly different (Figure S5, Supporting Information).

For the MOF–SEIRA platform (Figure 2eI), the above model is extended via TCMT to include the effects of the MOF thin film. It is achieved by coupling (coefficient μ) a purely dissipative mode representative of the MOF absorption to the absorber model (Figure 2eII). According to the IR absorption spectrum of MOF (Figure S8, Supporting Information), there are five peaks in the spectral band of interest, i.e., 1148, 1182, 1314, 1449, and 1583 cm⁻¹, as shown at the bottom of Figure 2eIII. All of them can excite dissipative mode in the band to affect the model, so the absorption

ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS ______ www.advancedsciencenews.com

 $A_{\rm 2}$ with dissipative MOF considered can be expressed as (Note S4.2, Supporting Information)

$$A_{2} = 1 - \left| 1 - \frac{\kappa_{1}^{2}}{j(\omega - \omega_{1}) + \gamma_{1}} - \frac{\kappa_{2}^{2}}{j(\omega - \omega_{2}) + \gamma_{2} + \Gamma_{1} + \Gamma_{2} + \Gamma_{3} + \Gamma_{4} + \Gamma_{5}} \right|^{2}$$
(2)

where $\Gamma_i = \mu_{bi}^2 / [j(\omega - \omega_{bi}) + \gamma_{bi}]$ (*i* = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5), and the terms involved include absorption frequencies of MOF (labeled $\omega_{b1},..., \omega_{b5}$) and corresponding damping rates (labeled $\gamma_{b1},..., \gamma_{b5}$). Compared with absorption A_1 in the metamaterial absorber model, A_2 adds the term Γ_i representing the absorption of MOF. The spectrum extracted from the model fits well with the simulated spectrum, indicating the correctness and accuracy of the model established, as shown in Figure 2eIII.

When MOF–SEIRA platform is exposed to ambient CO₂ and CH₄ sorption (Figure 2fI), a gases sensing model is established by coupling (coefficient v_c , v_e) the dissipative mode representing gas absorption to the MOF–SEIRA platform model. According to the interaction between gases vibration and platform resonances, CO₂ and CH₄ are coupled to mode A and mode B of the MOF–SEIRA platform, respectively, as shown in Figure 2fII. According to the coupling equations of TCMT, the absorption A_3 can be expressed as (Note S4.3, Supporting Information)

$$A_{3} = 1 - \left| 1 - \frac{\kappa_{1}^{2}}{j(\omega - \omega_{1}) + \gamma_{1} + Y_{c}} - \frac{\kappa_{2}^{2}}{j(\omega - \omega_{2}) + \gamma_{2} + \Gamma_{1} + \Gamma_{2} + \Gamma_{3} + \Gamma_{4} + \Gamma_{5} + Y_{e}} \right|^{2}$$
(3)

where $Y_{c,e} = v_{c,e}^2 / [j(\omega - \omega_{c,e}) + \lambda_{c,e}]$, and the added terms include absorption frequencies of CO₂ (ω_c) and CH₄ (ω_e) and corresponding damping rates (γ_c , γ_e). Compared with A_2 , A_3 adds the terms $Y_{c,e}$ representing the absorption of CO₂ and CH₄. The signal peak corresponding to gas absorption can be clearly observed in the spectrum, as shown in Figure 2fIII.

To investigate the enhancement effect of the platform, the metamaterial absorber, ZIF-8 film and MOF-SEIRA platform were exposed to ambient CO₂ sorption (2000 ppm) while keeping other experimental conditions consistent. Figure 2g shows the spectral response of metamaterial absorber. The signal corresponding to CO₂ absorption was weak and even negligible in the spectrum. The difference signal was extracted by setting the spectrum measured in vacuum as a reference. A peak value of 0.022 was observed in the difference spectrum, which is due to the small amount of CO₂ in the near field. Figure 2h exhibits the CO₂ sensing behavior of the ZIF-8 film on a gold substrate without any pattern. A distinct peak was observed at around 4.25 µm in the measured spectrum, while the signal intensity is still weak (less than 1.5% absorption) due to the short optical interaction length. Figure 2i is the proof-of-concept demonstration of CO₂ sensing using the MOF-SEIRA platform. A highly visible signal representing CO₂ absorption appeared in the spectrum when the platform is exposed to ambient CO₂ from a vacuum environment. The peak value of the MOF-SEIRA platform is 30 times

higher than that of the MOF film, and 10 times higher than the metamaterial absorber. This phenomenon was attributed to the much higher enhancement depth and field intensity of the MOF–SEIRA platform and could be analyzed from two aspects, namely the concentration of gas by MOF and the enhancement of near-field by metamaterial absorber. From the perspective of the generalized model, the coupling coefficient $v_{c,e}$ between the gas vibration and the absorber resonance is enlarged due to the concentration of gas by MOF. In addition, CO₂ molecules in MOF– SEIRA platform mainly consume IR energy in the metamaterial absorber through near-field coupling, rather than directly absorbing IR energy as in the MOF/Au/Si configuration. Such mechanism is a key characteristic that allows the MOF–SEIRA platform to detect multigases at low concentration (ppm level).

2.3. Material Characterization and Thickness Analysis of MOF–SEIRA Platform

The multiresonant metamaterial absorber was fabricated on a 6 in. silicon wafer by complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor compatible process, i.e., electron beam evaporation, stepper photolithography, and ion beam etch (IBE) (see the Experimental Section). Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of the metamaterial absorber were shown in Figure 3a. The outline of the pattern was well-defined, and each layer was tightly bonded and quite distinct from each other (Figure 3d), demonstrating the effectiveness of the fabrication process. After the deposition of MOF on the surface of the nanoarray, the surface morphology of the device changed dramatically, as presented in Figure 3b,e. The atomic force microscopy (AFM) image in Figure 3c also verified the morphology changes caused by the deposition, and the observed rough surface morphology reflected the high surface area of MOF thin film, making it an excellent candidate for gas trapping and sensing. To confirm the composition of the grown ZIF-8, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) analysis, X-ray diffraction (XRD) test, and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) mapping were performed. The FT-IR spectrum of the grown ZIF-8 was consistent with the data in the previously reported literature (Figure S8, Supporting Information).^[13] According to the FT-IR spectrum, the strong and broad absorption peak at 1843 cm⁻¹ belonging to the N-H bond of dimethylimidazole completely disappeared, meaning that the imidazole links in ZIF-8 had been fully deprotonated. That is, the prepared film was phase-pure ZIF-8. Furthermore, the XRD profile was also in excellent agreement with the test results.^[18] The peaks at 7.3°, 10.2°, and 12.6° were the primary characteristics related to the ZIF-8 phase (Figure S9, Supporting Information). The EDS result in Figure 3f verified the presence of Zn, N, C, and O in ZIF-8, and the distribution of each element was relatively uniform. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area of the synthesized ZIF-8 is 1251 m² g⁻¹, and its pore volume is 0.608 cm³ g⁻¹. The CO₂ uptake of ZIF-8 is 4.41 mmol g⁻¹, which is 4 times higher than the CH₄ uptake (Note S1, Supporting Information). Collectively, all of the above morphological and compositional analyses demonstrated the successful growth of ZIF-8 film.

The thickness of MOF thin film is the key factor that influences both platform resonance and gas trapping. Figure 3g shows the MOF growth times versus wavelength map, revealing the effect





www.advancedscience.com



Figure 3. Material characterization and thickness analysis of MOF–SEIRA platform. SEM micrograph showing details of a) the metamaterial absorber and b) the proposed MOF–SEIRA platform. c) AFM micrograph showing details of the MOF–SEIRA platform. d,e) SEM micrograph showing crosssectional views of device corresponding to (a,b). Each layer is marked accordingly to indicate the difference. f) EDS mapping analysis of the MOF. g) Deposition times versus spectrum wavelength map showing the effect of MOF thickness on MOF–SEIRA platform (n = 15). h) Corresponding map when CO₂ and CH₄ with the concentration of 800 ppm are loaded on the platform (n = 15). i) AFM micrograph showing the final MOF thickness of MOF–SEIRA platform when MOF deposition times are fixed at 10.

of MOF thickness on the resonance of MOF–SEIRA platform. A redshift of resonance wavelength and broadening of the spectral peak are clearly observed with the increase of ZIF-8 thickness. Such redshift is induced by the effective raise of the background dielectric constant. The redshift frequency $\Delta \omega$ can be expressed by the following equation^[19]

$$\frac{\Delta\omega}{\omega_0} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\int_0^h \mathbf{E} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\text{ZIF}} - 1) \cdot \mathbf{E} dV_{\text{ZIF}}}{\int_0^\infty |\mathbf{E}|^2 dV}$$

where ω_0 , **E**, ϵ_{ZIF} , V_{ZIF} , and *V* represent the original resonant frequency, near field, permittivity tensor of ZIF-8, the volume of ZIF-8 film, and the full mode volume of the platform resonance, respectively. Since the electric field is mainly perpendicular to the antenna surface, $\Delta \omega / \omega_0 \propto (\epsilon_{\text{ZIF}} - 1) \cdot h / l_{\text{ZIF}}$, where ϵ_{ZIF} is a constant and l_{ZIF} is representative of surface-averaged localization lengths. Therefore, the redshift frequency $\Delta \omega$ is positively correlated with thickness *h*. As for the broadening phenomenon, it is generally caused by a characteristic mode splitting attributed to the coupling between the resonance of the bare absorber and the vibrational mode of ZIF-8. It can be estimated via^[20]

$$E_{\pm} = \frac{E_{\rm A} + E_{\rm MOF}}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{(E_{\rm A} - E_{\rm MOF})^2}{4} + \xi^2}$$

where E_A and E_{MOF} are the energies of absorber resonance and MOF vibrational mode, respectively, and ξ is the coupling strength between them. According to Equation (5), the coupling energy ξ leads to a mode splitting between the two modes and thereby causes broadening of the spectral peak. Such broadening becomes severe with increasing thickness until saturation. To further investigate the optimal thickness, the MOF–SEIRA platform is exposed to an environment where CO₂ and CH₄ (both 800 ppm) coexist, and the results are shown in Figure 3h. The peaks representing the vibrational modes of CO₂ and CH₄ are clear in the map, and platform resonances pass through the peaks as thickness increases. The thickness grown through 10 cycles is determined as the optimal value because the platform resonances **ADVANCED** SCIENCE NEWS

www.advancedsciencenews.com



www.advancedscience.com



Figure 4. Steady characteristics of the proposed MOF–SEIRA platform for simultaneous sensing of CO₂ and CH₄. a) The measured spectra of MOF–SEIRA platform when CO₂ or b) CH₄ concentrations change from 0 to 2000 ppm. The insets show the zoomed in spectral change at the CO₂ or CH₄ absorption peak. c) The measured spectra when CO₂ and CH₄ are simultaneously loaded on the platform. d–f) Corresponding difference signal showing the change in absorption spectra in (a–c). The spectrum obtained by the platform in vacuum was set as the reference spectrum. g–i) Differential absorption versus concentration profile showing their linear relationship. p < 0.05, not significant. The sensitivity S is defined by the slope angle of the linear fitting curve.

at this configuration overlap well with the vibrations of both CO₂ and CH₄. The MOF thickness after 10 cycles grown is around 400 nm according to the AFM imaging of the cross-sectioned film (Figure 3i and the Experimental Section).

2.4. Simultaneous Sensing of Greenhouse Gases at Steady State

Simultaneous sensing of greenhouse gases at steady state is a critical characteristic for MOF–SEIRA platform. **Figure 4**a shows the CO_2 sensing behavior of the MOF–SEIRA platform when the CO_2 concentration was varied from 0 to 2000 ppm. The variation in the spectral amplitude due to the stretching of C=O is extracted by setting the spectrum obtained in vacuum as a reference, as depicted in Figure 4d. Furthermore, the extracted difference signal is converted into average peak absorption represent-

ing the gas vibrational consumption via integrating and averaging (see the Experimental Section). The intensity of the average peak absorption rises continuously as the CO₂ concentration increase, as shown in Figure 4g. When the sensitivity is defined by the angle of the fitted curve, it reaches 0.0358% ppm⁻¹. Similar experiments were conducted to investigate the CH4 sensing behavior of the MOF-SEIRA platform, as plotted in Figure 4b,e,h. The results reveal that the sensitivity for CH_4 (0.0121% ppm⁻¹) is lower than that for CO₂, which is determined by both the oscillator strength of vibrations and the absorption intensity of the gas in MOF. Further analysis reveals that the sensitivity is more affected by absorption intensity of MOF (Note S8, Supporting Information). Notably, completely reversed behaviors of the change in spectral amplitude was observed, i.e., obvious peaks were produced by CO₂ and distinct dips by CH₄, as shown in the insets in Figure 4a,b. It is attributed to the difference in the external to intrinsic damping rates of the two modes of MOF–SEIRA platform corresponding to CO_2 and CH_4 . This difference has been proven to produce various couple effects, including undercoupled, critically coupled, and overcoupled case.^[21]

Figure 4c, f, i shows the simultaneous sensing of CO₂ and CH₄ via the MOF-SEIRA platform. The results indicate that the sensitivity of platform is slightly reduced when compared with the separate measurements of CO₂ and CH₄, and the values are 0.0348% ppm⁻¹ (CO₂) and 0.0113% ppm⁻¹ (CH₄), respectively. The reduction in sensitivity verifies the interference between CO₂ and CH₄ due to the adsorption competition in the MOF cavity. However, it is only a small change for the entire measurement in wide concentration range, $\approx 2.79\%$ of original sensitive for CO₂ and 6.61% for CH_4 , which benefits from the distribution of CO_2 and CH₄ in different areas of the MOF cavity. Specifically, CH₄ is in closer proximity to the pore windows of MOF than CO₂.^[22] Furthermore, the interference can be reduced or even eliminated by a specially designed MOF with independent pores of a suitable size for both CO₂ and CH₄. In the experiment, we also notice that CO_2 is less affected than CH_4 in the sensing measurement. This is because although CO₂ competes with CH₄ in the ZIF-8 cavity, it is completely independent in the pore aperture (3.4 Å) of ZIF-8. Such independence is an advantage that makes MOF a potential candidate for multigas sensing applications.

2.5. Dynamic Sensing Characteristics of MOF-SEIRA Platform

As a critical indicator in evaluating sensing performance, dynamic characteristics of sensing platform reveals its response time and hysteresis. Therefore, we further investigated the dynamic behavior of MOF-SEIRA platform by placing it in a gas flow cell connected to mass flow controllers (MFC)-controlled gas sources (see the Experimental Section). The spectral response of platform in consecutive CO₂/CH₄-vacuum cycles was then recorded, as depicted in Figure 5a. Figure 5b shows the obtained time-resolved SEIRA difference signal map. A broad and bright band centered at 4.25 µm appeared rapidly in the signal map with the increase of CO₂ exposure (maximum 1200 ppm). Furthermore, this bright band decreased until it completely disappeared once the gas was evacuated to a vacuum. Appearance and disappearance of bright band near 4.25 µm band were repeated periodically when MOF-SEIRA platform undergoes consecutive cycling between CO₂ inflow and vacuum evacuation. Such demonstration indicates that the sorption and desorption of CO₂ gas is reversible in a vacuum environment. Further investigation reveals that the spectral response of MOF-SEIRA platform is still reversible when it undergoes consecutive cycling between CO₂ loading and removal (Figure S10, Supporting Information). Analogously, we also observed a bright band centered at 7.66 µm caused by the v_4 vibrational mode of CH₄, as shown in Figure 5c. The intensity of the bright band for CH₄ is slightly weaker than that of CO_2 , because the amount of CH_4 adsorbed in ZIF-8 is 4 times less than that of CO_2 in ZIF-8 (Figure S1, Supporting Information).

In addition to the reversibility, we also investigated the dynamic response time of the platform by increasing the concentration of CO_2 and CH_4 from 0 to 2400 ppm (in 400 ppm increment). The spectral response of the platform was recorded every

15 s interval, and time-resolved average peak absorption of CO₂ and CH₄ was calculated, as shown in Figure 5d,e. The absorption signals kept flat when the platform was positioned within a N₂ flow, and small fluctuations of the signal originate from the noise of the optical measurement system. After each increase of CO₂ and CH₄ concentration, a marked rising can be observed and then fluctuated around the same level. The insets in Figure 5d,e are enlarged views of the dotted frame area showing the evolution of average peak absorption from the rising state to the steady state. When the response time of platform is defined as the differential absorption reaching 95% of the maximum absorption, the response time is estimated around 35 s for CO₂ and around 60 s for CH₄. Such difference is due to the larger diffusion coefficient of CO₂ in ZIF-8 than CH₄.^[23] Notably, there was a delay between the concentration change in gas control module and the optical response of the MOF-SEIRA platform (see arrows in Figure 5d,e). This delay is due to the time it takes for CO_2 and CH_4 with a concentration change to flow into the gas cell.

Importantly, we would like to emphasize that excellent linearity rather than nonlinear saturation could be observed in MOF-SEIRA platform when the concentration changed over a wide range. The linear characteristics were analyzed by exposing the platform to CO₂ and CH₄ with a wide range of concentrations (from 0 to 2.5×10^4 ppm in 5000 ppm increment), as illustrated in Figure 5f,g. Obviously, the peak absorption varies linearly with the concentration of CO₂ and CH₄, and the relationship between them can be fitted by a linear regression line. To evaluate the linear behavior, the linearity of the platform is calculated, and the value for CO₂ and CH₄ is 9.73% and 11.85%, respectively (see the Experimental Section). Compared to sensors with nonlinear output, such linear characteristics is a definite advantage for gas sensing, because linear output can significantly simplify subsequent signal processing systems and reduce the cost and difficulty of commercialization of the technology. Besides, the linearity for CO₂ sensing is better than that for CH₄ sensing, which is due to the stronger and more independent adsorption of CO₂ in MOF than CH₄, as discussed in the previous section. Although the linearity is not perfect due to the large measuring range, the output of the platform is accurate (maximum error: 1.1% and 0.4% for CO_2 and CH_4). The linearity of the platform can be further improved by enhancing the adsorption and independence of CO₂ and CH₄ in MOF. In addition, the proposed strategy shows excellent scalability, and it can be extended to more gases detections as need by adding the resonance of SEIRA and developing appropriate MOFs (Figure S12, Supporting Information). The comparative analysis of the performance between the MOF-SEIRA platform and the existing SEIRA-based gas sensors is summarized in Table S5 (Supporting Information). It can be seen that the MOF-SEIRA platform has strong competitiveness among them, especially the characteristics of multigas sensing make it unique.

3. Conclusion

In summary, we have developed a rapid and all-in-one gas sensor by integrating porous MOF with metamaterial absorber-based SEIRA platform for simultaneous on-chip sensing of greenhouse gases. The SEIRA platform offers maximum local near-field intensity enhancements over 1500-fold for both sensing bands. The **ADVANCED** SCIENCE NEWS _

www.advancedsciencenews.com

www.advancedscience.com



Figure 5. Dynamic characteristics of the proposed MOF–SEIRA platform for simultaneous sensing of CO₂ and CH₄. a) Scheme showing dynamic sensing behavior of the proposed MOF–SEIRA platform. b) Time-resolved difference signal profile of 4.25 µm band, showing the absorption and detection of CO₂ with concentrations varying from 0 to 1200 ppm repeatedly. The bright band reflects the presence of the asymmetrical stretching mode of C=O=C. c) Time-resolved difference signal profile of 7.66 µm band. Presence of the v_4 vibration is indicated accordingly in the bright band. d) Dynamic behavior of MOF–SEIRA platform as CO₂ and e) CH₄ concentrations increase from 0 to 2400 ppm in 400 ppm increment (*n* = 200). The inset is an enlarged view of the dotted frame area, showing a response time of 35 s for CO₂ and 60 s for CH₄. The arrows in (d,e) represent the inflow of CO₂ or CH₄ at the corresponding concentration. f) Linear characteristics of MOF–SEIRA platform for a wide range of CO₂ and g) CH₄. Fitting curve for CO₂: $y = 4.57 \times 10^{-6} \times +0.49 \times 10^{-6}$ with $R^2 = 0.98$. p < 0.05, not significant. Fitting curve for CH₄: $y = 1.31 \times 10^{-6} \times +0.19 \times 10^{-6}$ with $R^2 = 0.98$. p < 0.05, not significant.

proposed MOF is demonstrated to be highly selective and reversible for the sorption and desorption of both CO_2 and CH_4 . By exploiting the near-field enhancement of SEIRA technique and the selective multiple gases trapping of MOF, the MOF–SEIRA platform achieves simultaneous on-chip sensing of CO_2 and CH₄ with fast response time (< 1 min), high accuracy (maximum error, CO₂: 1.1%, CH₄: 0.4%), and excellent linearity in wide concentration range (from 0 to 2.5×10^4 ppm). Importantly, the concept is flexible and can extend to more greenhouse gases detections as need by adding additional bands and developing

appropriate MOFs. This work does not only provide a powerful tool for greenhouse gases sensing, but also proves the huge potential of SEIRA and MOF in all-in-one, real-time and on-chip multigas detection.

4. Experimental Section

Numerical Simulations: The spectral and near-field characteristics were calculated using a commercial software package (FDTD Solutions v8.19, Lumerical Inc) based on finite-difference time-domain method. To boost the modeling efficiency, periodic boundary condition was utilized to model the periodicity of the metamaterial. The platform was excited by plane wave light sources with elliptically polarization in accordance with that in the infrared microscope system. Power Monitor was placed on the reflection path to obtain the reflection spectrum, and both simulation and measurement in the work were performed in reflective mode. The refractive index of Au and Si were derived from Palik et al., and that of MgF2 was taken from Malitson et al. The refractive index of ZIF-8 used in the simulation was calculated by $\varepsilon_{\rm ZIF}(\omega) =$ $\varepsilon_0 + \sum_{i=1}^5 (\varepsilon_{\text{lorentz}} \omega_i^2 / (\omega_i^2 - i \cdot 2\delta_0 \omega - \omega^2))$, where the terms involved include vibration frequency ω_i , background relative permittivity ϵ_0 , Lorentz permittivity $\epsilon_{\rm Lorentz}$, and Lorentz line width δ_0 (Figure S13, Supporting Information). The absorption of CO₂ and CH₄ was simplified to $\varepsilon_{gas}(v) =$ $\varepsilon_1 + \sum_{k=1}^2 (\varepsilon_{\text{lorentz}} v_k^2 / (v_k^2 - i \cdot 2\delta_1 v - v^2))$, where the v_i , ε_1 , and δ_1 is the absorption frequency, background permittivity, and Lorentz line width, respectively. The values of these parameters were determined based on the corresponding absorption spectra. The near-field penetration depth is simulated using a 3D frequency domain power monitor. The thin titanium (Ti) adhesion layer was omitted from the simulation.

Nanofabrication of MOF–SEIRA Platform: The devices were fabricated using a complementary metal–oxide–semiconductor compatible process. Figure S14 (Supporting Information) illustrated the detailed fabrication process: a) a high-resistivity (20 000) 6 in. silicon wafer was cleaned and dried for the subsequent use; b) Ti (10 nm) and Au (100 nm) were sequentially deposited on the silicon surface using a magnetron sputtering system. Here the Ti layer functioned as an adhesion layer to enhance the adhesion between the Au layer and the Si substrate; c) 200 nm thick MgF₂ was deposited on the Au layer by an e-beam evaporator system; d) Ti (10 nm) and Au (100 nm) were sequentially deposited on the MgF₂ dielectric layer and then etched by IBE. Photolithography technique was used to pattern the nanoantenna array via a mid ultraviolet stepper; e) 400 nm thick MOF was grown on device surface; f) the ultimately achieved devices were stored in a drying oven before use.

Preparation of MOF Thin Film: The ZIF-8 film was synthesized by combining the Zinc nitrate hexahydrate and 2-methylimidazole in a methanol solvent. Figure S15 (Supporting Information) depicted the detailed ZIF-8 synthesis process. The devices were washed successively in acetone and ethanol to thoroughly remove contaminants, followed by nitrogen drying. Then the cleaned device was immersed in a mixture of 10 mL 2methylimidazole (2.5 mol L⁻¹, methanol solvent) and 10 mL Zinc nitrate hexahydrate (2.5 mol L⁻¹, methanol solvent) for 25 min at room temperature. After the immersion, the device was washed with methanol and blown dry with nitrogen flow. The process was repeated for 10 cycles to obtain a 400 nm thick ZIF-8 thin film. The thickness measurement of ZIF-8 was performed in Au surface, and the cross section was obtained by cutting the MOF film carefully.

FTIR Measurements: Infrared spectral measurements were performed on a FT-IR spectrometer (IRTracer-100, Shimadzu) coupled to an infrared microscope (AIM-900, Shimadzu) with a 0.4 numerical aperture and \times 15 objective. The microscope was equipped with a liquid-nitrogen-cooled mercury cadmium telluride. The instrument settings used for all spectral measurement included: 4 cm⁻¹ resolution, 20 scans coadded, and absorption mode. The IR spectra were collected in a single 100 \times 100 μ m² array due to the limitation of knife edge apertures. Three methods were adopted in this work to minimize/eliminate the interference from environmental CO_2 (Note S14, Supporting Information): I) the entire system was sealed in a transparent container during the test, while dry nitrogen was circulated in the container through the configured enclosure to limit interference from CO_2 and water vapor; II) the device is placed in the gas cell to during the measurement; III) the spectrum measured on a gold mirror was recorded and used as the background spectrum.

Gas Measurement Setup and Data Processing: The experimental setup was composed of three modules as shown in Figure S16 (Supporting Information), namely control module, mix module, and sensing module. In the control module, flow rates of N₂, CO₂, and CH₄ were determined by MFC (SAM, Horiba) with 0-10 and 0-1000 sccm flow range. The valve position of MFC was controlled in real time by a computer equipped with LabVIEW. Therefore, the flow rates could be adjusted dynamically in the software as needed. In the mix module, a small box made of polymethyl methacrylate functioned as a mixer to thoroughly mix the gas from the MFC. Meanwhile, commercial gas sensors were used to calibrate the gas concentration in the mixer. The pressure in the mixer was determined by the cooperation between a vacuum pump and a pressure gauge. Notably, in dynamic sensing experiments, the control module was directly connected to the sensing module to improve the dynamic performance of the setup. In the sensing module, light from IR microscope illuminated the device in the gas cell through a barium fluoride window. The gas cell was made of aluminum alloy and could withstand negative and positive pressure. As for the sensing at steady state, the concentrations of CO₂ and CH₄ were controlled by MFCs and calibrated by commercial meters, and then passed into the gas cell. After 5 min of exposure to the gas, the platform was measured under IR microscope. The spectrum of MOF-SEIRA platform before gas loading was set as the reference spectrum. The extraction of the differential absorption spectra was performed by subtracting the reference spectrum from the measured spectrum after gas loading, which can be expressed as $D = |A - A_{reference}|$. The average peak absorption intensity of CO₂ and CH₄ was calculated by $A_p = 1/(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} (A_{CO_2,CH_4}) d_{\lambda}$, where λ_1 and λ_2 are the beginning and end wavelength of average interval, and A_{CO2,CH4} is the corresponding differential spectrum of CO₂ and CH₄. Analogously, the peak absorption intensity was calculated by $P = \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} (A_{CO_2,CH_4}) d_{\lambda}$. The linearity was obtained by $\delta_{\rm L} = \Delta e/{\rm FS} \times 100\%$, where Δe represents the maximum error in the full scale FS.

Apparatus: Scanning electron microscope analyses were obtained using a field emission scanning electron microscope (Carl Zeiss Σ IGMA 500, Germany). The roughness analyses were performed by a commercial atomic force microscopy (Dimension Icon, Bruker Inc). Optical analyses were imaged by an optical microscope (Motic china group CO., Ltd. China). The XRD were obtained by a Ragiku Smartlab Diffractometer using Cu Ka radiation. Infrared spectral measurements were performed on a FT-IR spectrometer (IRTracer-100, Shimadzu) coupled to an infrared microscope (AIM-900, Shimadzu). EDS analysis was carried out using a Bruker Quantax EDS system with an XFlash Silicon Drift Detector. Photolithography was performed by a Nikon I-line stepper NSR-2205 i-12D. A magnetron sputtering system (FHR. Micro. 200, FHR Inc) was used to deposit Au and Ti layers. BET surface area, CO₂ and CH₄ adsorption isotherms were measured by using a volumetric adsorption analyzer (Micromeritics ASAP 2020, USA).

Statistical Analysis: Each spectral data are the average of 20 scans. Preprocessing of spectral data, including conversion of wavenumber to wavelength, normalization, and baseline calibration, was carried out by using the software LabSolution (Shimadzu Corporation, Japan). Conversion of wavenumber (w_n) to wavelength (w_l) was performed by $w_l = 10\,000/w_n$. The near-field intensity in Figures S3 and S4 (Supporting Information) was normalized by the field strength on the upper surface of the antenna. The average peak absorption for CO₂ measurement was calculated on the basis of measured data in the range of 4.19–4.34 µm, and that for CH₄ was calculated in the range of 7.58–7.73 µm. Linear regression was used for curve fitting and modeling the relationship between gas concentration and absorption. Statistics were performed using the software Origin (Origin-Lab Corporation, USA).

SCIENCE NEWS __

Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant Nos. 2019YFB2004800, 2019YFB2004803, and 2016YFB0402702), and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant No. 2019CDCGGD320).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords

greenhouse gases, metal-organic frameworks, metamaterial absorbers, multigas sensors, surface-enhanced infrared absorption

Received: March 31, 2020 Revised: August 4, 2020 Published online:

- D. J. Wales, J. Grand, V. P. Ting, R. D. Burke, K. J. Edler, C. R. Bowen, S. Mintova, A. D. Burrows, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2015, 44, 4290.
- [2] a) S. Fanget, S. Hentz, P. Puget, J. Arcamone, M. Matheron, E. Colinet, P. Andreucci, L. Duraffourg, E. Myers, M. L. Roukes, Sens. Actuators, B 2011, 160, 804; b) C. Zuidema, S. Sousan, L. V. Stebounova, A. Gray, X. Liu, M. Tatum, O. Stroh, G. Thomas, T. Peters, K. Koehler, Ann. Work Exposures Health 2019, 63, 280; c) T. G. Anjos, C. E. W. Hahn, Sens. Actuators, B 2008, 135, 224; d) D. Gutmacher, U. Hoefer, J. Wöllenstein, Sens. Actuators, B 2012, 175, 40.
- [3] a) J. W. Fergus, Sens. Actuators, B 2008, 134, 1034; b) C. O. Park, J. W. Fergus, N. Miura, J. Park, A. Choi, Ionics 2009, 15, 261.
- [4] a) J. Hodgkinson, R. P. Tatam, *Meas. Sci. Technol.* 2013, 24, 012004;
 b) T. A. Vincent, Y. Xing, M. Cole, J. W. Gardner, *Sens. Actuators, B* 2019, 279, 351; c) J. Mandon, G. Guelachvili, N. Picqué, *Nat. Photonics* 2009, 3, 99; d) X. Chong, K.-J. Kim, E. Li, Y. Zhang, P. R. Ohodnicki, C. H. Chang, A. X. Wang, *Sens. Actuators, B* 2016, 232, 43.
- [5] T. A. Vincent, J. W. Gardner, Sens. Actuators, B 2016, 236, 954.
- [6] D. Trieu-Vuong, I.-Y. Choi, Y.-S. Son, J.-C. Kim, Sens. Actuators, B 2016, 231, 529.
- [7] a) A. Pusch, A. De Luca, S. S. Oh, S. Wuestner, T. Roschuk, Y. Chen, S. Boual, Z. Ali, C. C. Phillips, M. Hong, S. A. Maier, F. Udrea, R. H. Hopper, O. Hess, *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 17451; b) A. Lochbaum, Y. Fedo-

www.advancedscience.com

ryshyn, A. Dorodnyy, U. Koch, C. Hafner, J. Leuthold, ACS Photonics 2017, 4, 1371.

- [8] a) Z. Ren, Y. Chang, Y. Ma, K. Shih, B. Dong, C. Lee, Adv. Opt. Mater.
 2020, 8, 1900653; b) F. Neubrech, C. Huck, K. Weber, A. Pucci, H. Giessen, Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 5110; c) X. Yang, Z. Sun, T. Low, H. Hu, X. Guo, F. J. Garcia de Abajo, P. Avouris, Q. Dai, Adv. Mater. 2018, 30, 1704896; d) H. Hu, X. Yang, X. Guo, K. Khaliji, S. R. Biswas, F. J. Garcia de Abajo, T. Low, Z. Sun, Q. Dai, Nat. Commun. 2019, 10, 1131; e) K. Chen, X. Zhou, X. Cheng, R. Qiao, Y. Cheng, C. Liu, Y. Xie, W. Yu, F. Yao, Z. Sun, F. Wang, K. Liu, Z. Liu, Nat. Photonics 2019, 13, 754; f) H. Hu, X. Yang, F. Zhai, D. Hu, R. Liu, K. Liu, Z. Sun, Q. Dai, Nat. Commun. 2016, 7, 12334; g) K. Khaliji, S. R. Biswas, H. Hu, X. Yang, Q. Dai, S. H. Oh, P. Avouris, T. Low, Phys. Rev. Appl. 2020, 13, 011002.
- [9] a) D. Hasan, C. Lee, *Adv. Sci.* 2018, *5*, 1700581; b) Y. Chang, D. Hasan,
 B. Dong, J. Wei, Y. Ma, G. Zhou, K. W. Ang, C. Lee, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2018, *10*, 38272; c) J. Wei, Y. Li, Y. Chang, D. M. N. Hasan,
 B. Dong, Y. Ma, C. W. Qiu, C. Lee, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2019, *11*, 47270.
- [10] a) M. E. Nasir, W. Dickson, G. A. Wurtz, W. P. Wardley, A. V. Zayats, *Adv. Mater.* 2014, *26*, 3532; b) R. Griessen, N. Strohfeldt, H. Giessen, *Nat. Mater.* 2016, *15*, 311.
- [11] a) G. Lu, J. T. Hupp, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 7832; b) X. Chong, Y. Zhang, E. Li, K.-J. Kim, P. R. Ohodnicki, C. H. Chang, A. X. Wang, ACS Sens. 2018, 3, 230; c) K. J. Kim, P. Lu, J. T. Culp, P. R. Ohodnicki, ACS Sens. 2018, 3, 386.
- [12] Y. Y. Xu, V. Ramanathan, D. G. Victor, Nature 2018, 564, 30.
- [13] K. S. Park, Z. Ni, A. P. Cote, J. Y. Choi, R. Huang, F. J. Uribe-Romo, H. K. Chae, M. O'Keeffe, O. M. Yaghi, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2006**, 103, 10186.
- [14] a) X. Liu, T. Starr, A. F. Starr, W. J. Padilla, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2010, 104, 207403; b) N. Liu, M. Mesch, T. Weiss, M. Hentschel, H. Giessen, Nano Lett. 2010, 10, 2342.
- [15] L. Q. Cong, S. Y. Tan, R. Yahiaoui, F. P. Yan, W. L. Zhang, R. Singh, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2015**, *106*, 031107.
- [16] a) A. Iskra, A. S. Gentleman, A. Kartouzian, M. J. Kent, A. P. Sharp, S.
 R. Mackenzie, *J. Phys. Chem.* A 2017, *121*, 133; b) A. Chamberland, R.
 Belzile, A. Caba, *Can. J. Chem.* 1970, *48*, 1129.
- [17] S. Fan, W. Suh, J. D. Joannopoulos, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 2003, 20, 569.
- [18] G. Khandelwal, A. Chandrasekhar, N. P. M. J. Raj, S. J. Kim, Adv. Energy Mater. 2019, 9, 1803581.
- [19] C. Wu, A. B. Khanikaev, R. Adato, N. Arju, A. A. Yanik, H. Altug, G. Shvets, *Nat. Mater.* **2012**, *11*, 69.
- [20] a) N. Liu, H. Giessen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 9838; b) K. Chen, R. Adato, H. Altug, ACS Nano 2012, 6, 7998.
- [21] R. Adato, A. Artar, S. Erramilli, H. Altug, Nano Lett. 2013, 13, 2584.
- [22] H. Bux, C. Chmelik, J. M. van Baten, R. Krishna, J. Caro, Adv. Mater. 2010, 22, 4741.
- [23] a) J. Perez-Pellitero, H. Amrouche, F. R. Siperstein, G. Pirngruber, C. Nieto-Draghi, G. Chaplais, A. Simon-Masseron, D. Bazer-Bachi, D. Peralta, N. Bats, *Chemistry* **2010**, *16*, 1560; b) A. Battisti, S. Taioli, G. Garberoglio, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2011**, *143*, 46.